



Linkage of Cohen–Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein ring

Yuji Yoshino^{a,*}, Satoru Isogawa^b

^a*Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Integrated Human Studies, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8316, Japan*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro National College of Technology, Yatsushiro City,
Kumamoto 866-8501, Japan*

Received 25 June 1998; received in revised form 5 October 1998

Communicated by A.V. Geramita

Abstract

Let R be a Gorenstein complete local ring. We say that finitely generated modules M and N are linked if $\text{Hom}_{R/\underline{L}R}(M, R/\underline{L}R) \cong \Omega_{R/\underline{L}R}^1(N)$, where \underline{L} is a regular sequence contained in both of the annihilators of M and N . We shall show that the Cohen–Macaulay approximation functor gives rise to a map Φ_r from the set of even linkage classes of Cohen–Macaulay modules of codimension r to the set of isomorphism classes of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. When $r=1$, we give a condition for two modules to have the same image under the map Φ_1 . If $r=2$ and if R is a normal domain of dimension two, then we can show that Φ_2 is a surjective map if and only if R is a unique factorization domain. Several explicit computations for hypersurface rings are also given. © 2000 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 13C14; 13C40

0. Introduction

Throughout the present paper, let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Gorenstein complete local ring and we assume that all modules are finitely generated.

The notion of linkage for ideals of R has been introduced by Peskine–Szpiro [6]. Motivated by the work [4] of Herzog and Kühl, we shall extend this notion to modules that are Cohen–Macaulay of high codimension.

To be more precise, let I and J be ideals of R and we recall that I is (algebraically) linked to J via a regular sequence \underline{L} contained in $I \cap J$ if and only if

* Corresponding author.

$\text{Hom}_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(R/I, R/\underline{\lambda}R) \cong J/\underline{\lambda}R$. Therefore, it seems natural to define that R -modules M and N are linked via $\underline{\lambda}$ if $\underline{\lambda}M = \underline{\lambda}N = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(M, R/\underline{\lambda}R) \cong \Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1(N)$, where $\Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1$ is the (first) syzygy functor. Actually we adopt this definition of linkage for Cohen–Macaulay modules M and N (definitions 1.1 and 1.3).

In the case of ideals, there is a useful theory called Rao correspondence [7]. After defining the linkage for Cohen–Macaulay modules, we shall notice that such a correspondence should be reproduced by the Cohen–Macaulay approximation functor in our context.

Recall from [1] that for any R -module M , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y_R(M) \rightarrow X_R(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $X_R(M)$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module and $Y_R(M)$ is an R -module of finite projective dimension. This construction naturally yields the functor X_R from the category of R -modules to the stable category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules, and we call this functor the Cohen–Macaulay approximation functor.

In Corollary 1.6 we shall show that X_R is constant for modules in an even linkage class. Therefore, we can define the map Φ_r from the set of even linkage classes of Cohen–Macaulay modules of codimension $r > 0$ to the set of isomorphism classes of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over R (just by sending the even linkage class of M to the isomorphism class of $X_R(M)$).

The main purpose of this paper is to provide several properties of the map Φ_r for $r > 0$.

In the case $r = 1$, as we shall remark in the beginning of Section 2, Φ_1 is surjective if R is an integral domain, and the condition for two Cohen–Macaulay modules of codimension one to have the same image under Φ_1 is given in Proposition (3.1).

For $r = 2$, we are able to give a condition for Φ_2 to be surjective if R is a normal domain of dimension two. Surprisingly enough, the necessary and sufficient condition for this is that R is a UFD. See Theorem 2.2.

In the last section, as an application, we take a hypersurface ring as R , on which even linkage classes of Cohen–Macaulay modules are comparatively easy to determine.

1. Linkage of modules and the map Φ_r

As in the introduction, we always assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Gorenstein complete local ring of dimension d . We denote the category of finitely generated R -modules by $R\text{-mod}$ and denote the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules (resp. the category of Cohen–Macaulay modules of codimension r) as a full subcategory of $R\text{-mod}$ by $\text{CM}(R)$ (resp. $\text{CM}^r(R)$). We also denote the stable category by $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ (resp. $\underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$) that is defined in such a way that the objects are the same as that of $\text{CM}(R)$ (resp. $\text{CM}^r(R)$), while the morphisms from M to N are the elements of $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)/P(M, N)$ where $P(M, N)$ is the set of morphisms which factor through free R -modules. First we recall the definition of Cohen–Macaulay

approximations from the paper [1] of Auslander and Buchweitz. It is shown in [1] that for any $M \in R\text{-mod}$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y_R(M) \rightarrow X_R(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $X_R(M) \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ and $Y_R(M)$ is of finite projective dimension. Such a sequence is not unique, but $X_R(M)$ is known to be unique up to free summand, and hence it gives rise to the functor

$$X_R: R\text{-mod} \rightarrow \underline{\text{CM}}(R),$$

which we call the Cohen–Macaulay approximation functor. Let us denote by D_R the R -dual functor $\text{Hom}(_, R)$. Note that D_R yields a duality on the category $\text{CM}(R)$. Given an R -module M , we denote the i th syzygy module of M by $\Omega_R^i(M)$ for a non-negative integer i . We should notice that if $i \geq d$, then Ω_R^i gives rise to the functor $R\text{-mod} \rightarrow \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. If $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, then we can also consider $\Omega_R^i(M)$ even for a negative integer i , which is defined to be $D_R(\Omega_R^{-i}(D_R(M)))$. We call $\Omega_R^i(M)$ the $(-i)$ th cosyzygy module of M if $i < 0$ and if $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. In such a way we get the functor $\Omega_R^i: \underline{\text{CM}}(R) \rightarrow \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ for any integer i . Note that the Cohen–Macaulay approximation functor X_R is just equal to the composite $\Omega_R^{-d} \circ \Omega_R^d$ as a functor from $R\text{-mod}$ to $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.

Definition 1.1 (Linkage functor L_R). We define the functor $L_R: \underline{\text{CM}}(R) \rightarrow \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ by $L_R = D_R \circ \Omega_R^1$.

We should notice from the definition that $L_R^2 \cong \text{id}_{\underline{\text{CM}}(R)}$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ and let $\underline{\lambda}$ be a regular sequence in \mathfrak{m} . Then we have the isomorphism $L_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(M/\underline{\lambda}M) \cong L_RM \otimes_R R/\underline{\lambda}R$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$.

Proof. Let F_\bullet be an R -free resolution of M , then it is easy to see that $F_\bullet \otimes_R R/\underline{\lambda}R$ gives a free resolution of $M/\underline{\lambda}M$ over $R/\underline{\lambda}R$, because $\underline{\lambda}$ is also a regular sequence on M . Therefore, we have $\Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1(M/\underline{\lambda}M) \cong \Omega_R^1 M \otimes_R R/\underline{\lambda}R$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$. It thus follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(M/\underline{\lambda}M) &\cong \text{Hom}_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(\Omega_R^1 M \otimes_R R/\underline{\lambda}R, R/\underline{\lambda}R) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_R^1 M, R/\underline{\lambda}R) \cong L_RM \otimes_R R/\underline{\lambda}R. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \underline{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ be a regular sequences of length r . We denote the stable category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ by $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$. We always consider the set of objects of $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$ as a subset of the set of objects of $\underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$. Note that for two modules M_1 and M_2 in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$, $M_1 \cong M_2$ in the stable category $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$ if and only if M_1 is stably isomorphic to M_2 in $R/\underline{\lambda}R\text{-mod}$, that is, there is an isomorphism $M_1 \oplus F \cong M_2 \oplus G$ as $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ -modules for some free $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ -modules F and G .

Definition 1.3 (*Linkage of Cohen–Macaulay modules*). Let N_1, N_2 be two Cohen–Macaulay modules of codimension r . We assume that N_1 (resp. N_2) is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module over $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ (resp. $R/\underline{\mu}R$) for some regular sequence $\underline{\lambda}$ (resp. $\underline{\mu}$). If there exists a module $N \in \underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$ that belongs to both $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$ and $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\mu}R)$ satisfying

$$N_1 \cong L_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(N) \text{ in } \underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R) \quad \& \quad N_2 \cong L_{R/\underline{\mu}R}(N) \text{ in } \underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\mu}R),$$

then we say N_1 (resp. N_2) is linked to N through the regular sequence $\underline{\lambda}$ (resp. $\underline{\mu}$) and denote this by $N_1 \underset{\underline{\lambda}}{\sim} N$ (resp. $N_2 \underset{\underline{\mu}}{\sim} N$). We also say in this case that N_1 and N_2 are doubly linked through $(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})$, and denote it by $N_1 \underset{(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})}{\sim} N_2$, or simply $N_1 \underset{(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})}{\sim} N_2$.

If there is a sequence of modules N_1, N_2, \dots, N_s in $\underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$ such that N_i and N_{i+1} are doubly linked for $1 \leq i < s$, then we say that N_1 and N_s are evenly linked.

Recalling the linkage of ideals from [6], we can see that the above definition agrees with it. Actually let $R \supseteq I, J$ be Cohen–Macaulay ideals of codimension r and take a regular sequence $\underline{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ of length r contained in both I and J . Then I and J are linked through $\underline{\lambda}$ in the sense of [6] if and only if the Cohen–Macaulay modules R/I and R/J of codimension r are linked in the above sense (i.e. $R/I \underset{\underline{\lambda}}{\sim} R/J$). We remark that for $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$ we have $\Omega_R^r M \cong \Omega_R^r \Omega_R^{-r} \Omega_R^r(M) \cong \Omega_R^r X_R(M) \underset{\underline{\lambda}}{\sim}$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. And we use this fact in the proofs of the following Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

Theorem 1.4. *For a given regular sequence $\underline{\lambda}$ of length r in \mathfrak{m} , the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R) & \xrightarrow{X_R} & \underline{\text{CM}}(R) \\ \downarrow L_{R/\underline{\lambda}R} & & \downarrow L_R \circ \Omega_R^r \\ \underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R) & \xrightarrow{X_R} & \underline{\text{CM}}(R). \end{array}$$

Proof. We shall prove the theorem after showing two claims. First we claim:

(i) *If N is in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$, then $D_R(\Omega_R^r N) \cong X_R(D_{R/\underline{\lambda}R} N)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.*

To show this note that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R) = 0$ ($i \neq r$) and $\text{Ext}_R^r(N, R) \cong D_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(N)$, since $N \in \underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$. Therefore from the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_R^r(N) \rightarrow F_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

with F_i being R -free, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D_R(F_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_R(F_{r-1}) \rightarrow D_R(\Omega_R^r N) \xrightarrow{p} D_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(N) \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that the kernel of p is of finite projective dimension. Note here that $D_R(\Omega_R^r N) \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, and hence it follows from the definition that $D_R(\Omega_R^r N) \cong X_R(D_{R/\underline{\lambda}R} N)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.

Next we claim:

(ii) If N is in $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ -mod, then we have an isomorphism in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$:

$$\Omega_R^{r+1}(N) \cong \Omega_R^r(\Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1(N)).$$

To show this, consider the free cover of N over $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ to have the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1 N \rightarrow G \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is a free $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ -module. Since $R/\underline{\lambda}R$ has projective dimension r as an R -module, taking the r th syzygies as R -modules we have the exact sequence (up to R -free summands)

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_R^r(\Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1 N) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Omega_R^r N \rightarrow 0,$$

where F is a free R -module. The isomorphism in (ii) results from this.

Utilizing the isomorphisms (i) and (ii), for $N \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R)$ we have the following isomorphisms in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} X_R(L_{R/\underline{\lambda}R} N) &\cong X_R(D_{R/\underline{\lambda}R} \Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1 N) \cong D_R(\Omega_R^r \Omega_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}^1 N) \\ &\cong D_R(\Omega_R^{r+1} N) \cong D_R(\Omega_R^{r+1} X_R N) \cong L_R(\Omega_R^r X_R N). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 1.5. Let $\{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ be a regular sequence of length $r + s$ where $\underline{\lambda}$ is of length r and $\underline{\mu}$ is of length s . Putting $R' = R/\underline{\lambda}R$ and $R'' = R/(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})R$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R'') & \xrightarrow{\Omega_R^{s+r}} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) & \stackrel{=}{=} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R'') & \xrightarrow{\Omega_{R'}^s} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R') & \xrightarrow{\Omega_R^r} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) \\ \downarrow L_{R''} & & \downarrow L_{R'} & & \downarrow L_R \\ \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R'') & \xrightarrow{X_{R'}} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R') & \xrightarrow{X_R} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R'') & \xrightarrow{X_R} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) & \stackrel{=}{=} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R). \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutativity of the top square of the diagram is easily obtained by the subsequent use of the second claim (ii) in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

For $M \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R')$, we have the isomorphisms

$$L_R(\Omega_R^r(M)) \cong L_R(\Omega_R^r X_R(M)),$$

which is isomorphic to $X_R(L_{R'}(M))$ by Theorem 1.4. This shows the right square in the middle line commutes. Finally, to show the commutativity of the bottom square, we note from the theorem that

$$X_R X_{R'} L_{R''}(N) \cong L_R \Omega_R^r \Omega_{R'}^s(N) \cong L_R \Omega_R^{r+s}(N) \cong X_R L_{R''}(N),$$

for any N in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R'')$. Since $L_{R''}^2 \cong \text{id}_{\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R'')}$, substituting $L_{R''}(N)$ for N in the above equations, we obtain $X_R(X_{R'}(N)) \cong X_R(N)$ in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$. \square

Corollary 1.6. *Let N_1 and N_2 be modules in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^r(R)$. If N_1 and N_2 are doubly linked, then we have $X_R(N_1) \cong X_R(N_2)$ in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$.*

Proof. If $N_1 \sim_{\underline{\lambda}} N \sim_{\underline{\mu}} N_2$ for some $N \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R/\underline{\lambda}R) \cap \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R/\underline{\mu}R)$, then it follows from the definition that $N_1 \cong L_{R/\underline{\lambda}R}(N)$ and $N_2 \cong L_{R/\underline{\mu}R}(N)$. Therefore applying Theorem 1.4, we get $X_R(N_1) \cong L_R(\Omega_R^r N)$ and $X_R(N_2) \cong L_R(\Omega_R^r N)$ in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$. Hence $X_R(N_1) \cong X_R(N_2)$ as desired. \square

It turns out from Corollary 1.6 that the Cohen–Macaulay approximation functor X_R yields a map from the set of even linkage classes in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^r(R)$ to the set of objects in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$.

Definition 1.7. Let us denote by $B_r(R)$ the set of even linkage classes of modules in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^r(R)$. Then we can define a map Φ_r from $B_r(R)$ to the set of isomorphism classes of modules in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$ by $[N] \mapsto X_R(N)$.

2. A condition making the map Φ_2 surjective

If R is a local Gorenstein domain, then every Cohen–Macaulay module $M \in \mathbf{CM}(R)$ has a well-defined rank, say s , and a free module of rank s can be embedded in M :

$$0 \rightarrow R^s \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \text{ (exact),}$$

where one can easily see that $N \in \mathbf{CM}^1(R)$. Hence taking a nonzero divisor x that annihilates N , we see that $N \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R/xR)$ and that $M \cong X_R(N)$. In this way, if R is a domain, then any maximal Cohen–Macaulay module over R is in the image of X_R from $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^1(R)$, hence Φ_1 is a surjective map.

This argument can be slightly generalized in the following way using the theorem of Bourbaki.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a normal Gorenstein domain and let $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. For any integer $j \geq 1$, there is an ideal I of R such that $M \cong \Omega^{j+1}(R/I)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.*

Proof. Taking the j th cosyzygy N of M , we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_{j-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $N \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ hence it is torsion free, it follows from Bourbaki's theorem [3, Section 4, Theorem 6] that there is an ideal I of R and an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow R^{s-1} \rightarrow N \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

where s is the rank of N . Then by the above we have $M \cong \Omega^j N \cong \Omega^j I$, since $j \geq 1$. □

In this lemma the codimension of the module R/I is at most two. In the case that $R/I \in \underline{\text{CM}}^r(R)$ and $\Omega^r(R/I) \cong M$, we see that $X_R(R/I) \cong \Omega_R^{-r}(M)$, and hence $\Omega_R^{-r}(M)$ is the image of the even linkage class of R/I under the map Φ_r defined in (1.7).

As to the problem asking when a module in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ is the image of $B_2(R)$ under the map Φ_2 , we can show the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a normal Gorenstein complete local ring of dimension 2. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) R is a UFD.
- (b) For any module $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, we can find an R -module L of finite length (hence a CM module of codimension 2) such that $M \cong \Omega_R^2(L)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.
- (c) The map Φ_2 is surjective onto the set of isomorphism classes of modules in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): For $M \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, let s be the rank of M and, embedding M into the free module R^s , we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R^s \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

We can see that $\dim N = \text{depth } N = 1$. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be all the associated prime ideals of N and set $S = R - \bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i$. Note that each p_i is a prime ideal of height one, and hence $S^{-1}R$ is a PID. Therefore there is an isomorphism of $S^{-1}R$ -modules:

$$\phi: S^{-1}N \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^s S^{-1}R/a_i S^{-1}R$$

for some $a_i \in S^{-1}R$. Since $a_i S^{-1}R \cap R$ is an ideal of pure height one, and since R is a UFD, we can find an element $b_i \in R$ such that $b_i R = a_i S^{-1}R \cap R$. Taking b_i instead

of a_i , we may assume that $a_i \in R$ and that every associated prime of a_i is one of the p_i . Now we can take a map of R -modules $f: N \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s R/a_i R$ so that $S^{-1}f = \phi$ is an isomorphism. Here we claim that f is a monomorphism. In fact, if not, then taking an associated prime p of $\text{Ker}(f)$, we see that p is associated to N hence is one of the p_i . But then f_p is an isomorphism which contradicts that $\text{Ker}(f)_p \neq 0$. Now we set $L = \text{Coker}(f)$:

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{i=1}^s R/a_i R \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{pd}_R R/a_i = 1$, we easily see that $\Omega_R^2(L) \cong \Omega_R^1(N) \cong M$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. Therefore, it remains to show that L is of finite length. By the definition we have

$$\text{Supp}(L) \subseteq \text{Supp}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s R/a_i R\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Supp}(R/p_i).$$

However f_{p_i} is an isomorphism for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and we can conclude that $\text{Supp}(L)$ consists of only a closed point, hence L is of finite length.

The equivalence (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) is obvious, because Φ_2 sends the even linkage class of $L \in \underline{\text{CM}}^2(R)$ to $\Omega_R^{-2}\Omega_R^2(L) \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ and Ω_R^{-2} is an automorphism on the category $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Let $\text{Cl}(R)$ be the divisor class group of R and let p be an arbitrary prime ideal of R of height one. We have only to show that the class $c(p)$ in $\text{Cl}(R)$ is trivial. Since $p \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, it follows from the condition (b) we have an R -module L of finite length such that $p \cong \Omega_R^2(L)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow p \oplus R^l \rightarrow R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0,$$

for some integers l, m and n . Now taking the divisor classes attached to modules as in [3, Section 4, No. 7] (or the first Chern class), we have from this sequence that $c(p) = c(L) = 0$ in $\text{Cl}(R)$, since L is of finite length. See [3, Section 4, Proposition 16]. \square

Example 2.3. Let k be a field and set $R = k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - yz)$ that is a normal Gorenstein domain of dimension 2. Now let p be the ideal of R generated by $\{x, y\}$. It is easily verified that p is a prime ideal of height one and $\text{Cl}(R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $c(p)$. It is also known that p is a unique indecomposable non-free maximal Cohen–Macaulay module over R . By the proof of the above theorem, p is not in the image of Φ_2 . On the other hand we can easily verify that $\Omega_R^2(k) \cong p \oplus p$. Therefore, we conclude that the image of Φ_2 is just the set of classes of modules that are isomorphic to the direct sum of even number of copies of p .

3. Linkage of CM modules of codimension 1

We have defined a map Φ_r in Definition (1.7) for any $r \geq 1$. In the case $r = 1$, the following proposition shows the condition for two classes in $B_1(R)$ to have the same image under Φ_1 .

Proposition 3.1. *Let λ, μ be regular elements in \mathfrak{m} and put $\xi = \lambda\mu$. And let N_1 (resp. N_2) be a module in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\lambda R)$ (resp. $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\mu R)$). Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $X_R(N_1) \cong X_R(N_2)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.
- (b) *There exists a module $N \in \underline{\text{CM}}(R/\xi^2 R)$ that contains N_2 as a submodule such that $\text{pd}_R(N/N_2) < \infty$ and $N_1 \underset{(\xi, \xi^2)}{\sim} N$.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): First note that $\Omega_R^1 N_1 \cong \Omega_R^1 N_2$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, since $X_R(N_1) \cong X_R(N_2)$. Now consider the R -free covers to get the exact sequences $0 \rightarrow K_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_1 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow K_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} F_2 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow 0$. Since $K_1 \cong K_2$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$, after adding a suitable free summand if necessary, we may assume that $K := K_1 = K_2$ and $F := F_1 = F_2$. Putting $N = \text{Coker}(\xi\alpha)$, we first claim that $N_1 \underset{(\xi, \xi^2)}{\sim} N$. To show this, since $\xi N_1 = 0$, there exists a unique map $E_\xi(\alpha)$ that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \Omega_{R/\xi R}^1 N_1 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{\xi} & F & \longrightarrow & F/\xi F \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow E_\xi(\alpha) & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F & \longrightarrow & N_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Coker}(E_\xi(\alpha)) & & & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

It follows from this that $\text{Coker}(E_\xi(\alpha)) \cong \Omega_{R/\xi R}^1 N_1$. Applying the dual functor D_R , we thus get the isomorphisms in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\xi R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coker}(D_R(E_\xi(\alpha))) &\cong D_{R/\xi R}(\text{Coker}(E_\xi(\alpha))) \\ &\cong D_{R/\xi R}(\Omega_{R/\xi R}^1 N_1) = L_{R/\xi R}(N_1). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, we also have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & & \Omega_{R/\xi^2 R}^1 N_1 & \\ & & & & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{\xi^2} & F & \longrightarrow & F/\xi^2 F \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow E_\xi(\alpha) & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\xi \alpha} & F & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Coker}(E_\xi(\alpha)) & & & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Thus we have the isomorphisms in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R/\xi^2 R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coker}(D_R(E_\xi(\alpha))) &\cong D_{R/\xi^2 R}(\text{Coker}(E_\xi(\alpha))) \\ &\cong D_{R/\xi^2 R}(\Omega_{R/\xi^2 R}^1 N) = L_{R/\xi^2 R}(N). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Combining the above isomorphisms in (1) and (2), we conclude that $N_1 \underset{(\xi, \xi^2)}{\sim} N$. It remains to show that N contains N_2 and $\text{pd}_R(N/N_2) < \infty$. To prove this, note that there exists a unique map $E_\xi(\beta): F \rightarrow K$ such that $\beta \circ E_\xi(\beta) = \xi \text{id}_F$, since $\xi N_2 = 0$. We can easily verify that $E_\xi(\beta) \circ \beta = \xi \text{id}_K$ holds and hence that $\alpha \circ E_\xi(\beta) \circ \beta = \xi \alpha$. Thus we

have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\beta} & F & \longrightarrow & N_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha \circ E_\xi(\beta) & & \downarrow \psi \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\xi \alpha} & F & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \text{Coker } \alpha \circ E_\xi(\beta) & \equiv & \text{Coker } \psi \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

It follows from this diagram that we can find a monomorphism $\psi: N_2 \rightarrow N$ with $\text{pd}_R(\text{Coker}(\psi)) \leq 1$ as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Since $\text{pd}_R(N/N_2) < \infty$, noting $\Omega_R^n(N) \cong \Omega_R^n(N_2)$ for large n , we see that $X_R(N_2) \cong X_R(N)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. On the other hand, since N and N_1 are doubly linked, we have $X_R(N) \cong X_R(N_1)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ by Corollary 1.6. Hence $X_R(N_1) \cong X_R(N_2)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. \square

4. Linkage of CM modules over hypersurface rings

In this section we consider the following three hypersurface rings:

$$R = k[\underline{x}]/(f),$$

$$R^\# = k[\underline{x}, y]/(f + y^2),$$

$$R^{\#\#} = k[\underline{x}, y, z]/(f + y^2 + z^2) \cong k[\underline{x}, u, v]/(f + uv),$$

where $\underline{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}$, y, z are $d + 1$ variables over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0 where $d \geq 2$, and $u = y + \sqrt{-1}z$, $v = y - \sqrt{-1}z$ and f is a non zero element in $k[\underline{x}]$. Note that $\{y\}$ (resp. $\{y, z\}$) is a regular sequence on $R^\#$ (resp. $R^{\#\#}$) and that $R \cong R^\# / yR^\# \cong R^{\#\#} / (y, z)R^{\#\#}$. Therefore, an object in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ can be naturally regarded as an object in $\underline{\text{CM}}^1(R^\#)$ and $\underline{\text{CM}}^2(R^{\#\#})$.

Let (φ_M, ψ_M) be a matrix factorization for $M \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$, which is, by definition, a pair of two square matrices with entries in $k[[x]]$ satisfying $\varphi_M \circ \psi_M = \psi_M \circ \varphi_M = f \cdot 1$ and $\text{Coker } \varphi_M \cong M$. Recalling Knörrer's periodicity theorem from [5], the functor $\text{Lif} : \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R^{\# \#})$ defined by

$$M \mapsto \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_M & u \cdot 1 \\ -v \cdot 1 & \psi_M \end{pmatrix}$$

gives the category equivalence. See [8, Ch. 12] for more details. Also recall that $\Omega_R^1 M \cong \text{Coker } \psi_M$ and $D_R M \cong \text{Coker } {}^t \varphi_M$, and hence that $\Omega_R^2 M \cong M$ and $L_R M \cong \text{Coker } {}^t \psi_M$. These observations show the following:

Proposition 4.1. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Lif}} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R^{\# \#}) \\ \downarrow L_R \circ \Omega_R^1 & & \downarrow L_{R^{\# \#}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Lif}} & \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R^{\# \#}). \end{array}$$

Proof. For a module M in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$ we have the following isomorphisms in $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R^{\# \#})$ which proves the proposition.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{R^{\# \#}}(\text{Lif}(M)) &\cong L_{R^{\# \#}} \left(\text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_M & u \cdot 1 \\ -v \cdot 1 & \psi_M \end{pmatrix} \right) \cong \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \psi_M & v \cdot 1 \\ -u \cdot 1 & {}^t \varphi_M \end{pmatrix} \\ &\cong \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \varphi_M & u \cdot 1 \\ -v \cdot 1 & {}^t \psi_M \end{pmatrix} \cong \text{Lif}(\text{Coker } {}^t \varphi_M) \\ &\cong \text{Lif}(D_R M) \cong \text{Lif}(L_R \Omega_R^1 M). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. $\Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^2 M \cong \text{Lif}(M \oplus \Omega_R^1 M) \cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^n M$ for $M \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$ and for any integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We quote $\Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 M \cong \text{Lif}(M) \oplus \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \text{Lif}(M)$ from [8, the proof of Theorem 12.10, the last line, p. 115]. This implies $\Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^2 M \cong \text{Lif}(M \oplus \Omega_R^1 M)$, since we have $\Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 M \cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^2 M$ from Corollary 1.5 and we can easily check that $\Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \text{Lif}(M) \cong \text{Lif}(\Omega_R^1 M)$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^3 M &\cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^2 M \cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \text{Lif}(M \oplus \Omega_R^1 M) \\ &\cong \text{Lif}(\Omega_R^1 M \oplus \Omega_R^2 M) \cong \text{Lif}(\Omega_R^1 M \oplus M) \cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^2 M. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. $\Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 M \cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^n M \cong \Omega_{R^{\# \#}}^1 \Omega_R^1 M$ for $M \in \underline{\mathbf{CM}}(R)$ and any integer $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let (φ_M, ψ_M) be a matrix factorization for M . It then follows from [8, Lemma 12.3, p. 108] that a matrix factorization of $\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M$ is given by

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \psi_M & -y \cdot 1 \\ y \cdot 1 & \varphi_M \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_M & y \cdot 1 \\ -y \cdot 1 & \psi_M \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Hence we have

$$\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M \cong \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_M & -y \cdot 1 \\ y \cdot 1 & \varphi_M \end{pmatrix} \cong \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_M & y \cdot 1 \\ -y \cdot 1 & \psi_M \end{pmatrix} \cong \Omega_{R^\sharp}^2 M.$$

Thus by induction on n , we get $\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M \simeq \Omega_{R^\sharp}^n M$. On the other hand, we have shown in Corollary 1.5 that $\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 \Omega_R^1 M \cong \Omega_{R^\sharp}^2 M$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *The following conditions are equivalent for M_1 and M_2 in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$:*

- (a) $M_1 \oplus \Omega_R^1 M_1 \cong M_2 \oplus \Omega_R^1 M_2$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$.
- (b) $X_{R^\sharp}(M_1) \cong X_{R^\sharp}(M_2)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R^\sharp)$.
- (c) $X_{R^{\sharp\sharp}}(M_1) \cong X_{R^{\sharp\sharp}}(M_2)$ in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R^{\sharp\sharp})$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Note that $\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_i \cong \Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 \Omega_R^1 M_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ by Lemma 4.3. We thus obtain

$$\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_1 \oplus \Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_1 \cong \Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_2 \oplus \Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_2$$

by applying the functor $\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1$ to the both side of (a). This implies $\Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_1 \cong \Omega_{R^\sharp}^1 M_2$, since R is complete local ring and hence the Kull–Schmit theorem holds for finitely generated modules.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Just apply the functor $X_{R^{\sharp\sharp}}$ to the both sides of (b) and we get (c) because of Corollary (1.5).

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Since $\Omega_{R^{\sharp\sharp}}^2 M_1 \simeq \Omega_{R^{\sharp\sharp}}^2 M_2$, we obtain from Lemma 4.2

$$\text{Lif}(M_1 \oplus \Omega_R^1 M_1) \cong \text{Lif}(M_2 \oplus \Omega_R^1 M_2).$$

This implies (a) since the functor Lif is fully faithful. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let M_1 and M_2 be in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$. Suppose that they belong to the same even linkage class in $\underline{\text{CM}}^1(R^\sharp)$ or in $\underline{\text{CM}}^2(R^{\sharp\sharp})$. Then we have $M_1 \oplus \Omega_R M_1 \cong M_2 \oplus \Omega_R M_2$. Furthermore, if we assume that both modules are indecomposable, then we must have either $M_1 \cong M_2$ or $M_1 \cong \Omega_R^1 M_2$.*

Example 4.6. Using this corollary we are sometimes able to find the condition for given modules to belong to the same even linkage class.

For the simplest example, let $R^\sharp = k[x, y]/(x^n + y^2)$ and $R = k[x]/(x^n)$. Take an integer r as $n = 2r$ or $2r + 1$. It is easy to see that the set of classes of indecomposable modules in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R)$ is $\{R/(x^i) \mid 1 \leq i < n\}$.

Then we can claim that the modules $R/(x^i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ define r distinct even linkage classes in $\underline{\text{CM}}(R^\sharp)$.

In fact, if $R/(x^i)$ and $R/(x^j)$ belong to the same even linkage class in $\underline{\text{CM}}^1(R^\#)$, then, since $\Omega_R(R/(x^i)) \cong R/(x^{n-i})$, it follows from the corollary that $R/(x^i) \cong R/(x^j)$ or $R/(x^i) \cong R/(x^{n-j})$, but since we assumed $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, we must have $i = j$.

Note that $R/(x^i)$ and $R/(x^{n-i})$ belong to the same even linkage class in $\underline{\text{CM}}^1(R^\#)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. This is just a result of computation as follows:

$$R/(x^i) \underset{x^{i+1}y}{\sim} R^\#/(x^{i+1}y, x^{n+1}) \underset{x^{n+1}}{\sim} R/(x^{n-i}).$$

References

- [1] M. Auslander, R.-O. Buchweitz, The homological theory of maximal Cohen–Macaulay approximations, *Mem. Soc. Math. de France* 38 (1989) 5–37.
- [2] M. Auslander, S. Ding, Ø. Solberg, Liftings and weak liftings of modules, *J. Algebra* 156 (1993) 273–317.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Chapitre VII, Masson. S.A., Paris, 1981.
- [4] J. Herzog, M. Kühl, Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over Gorenstein rings and Bourbaki-sequences, in: *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.*, vol. 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 65–92.
- [5] H. Knörrer, Cohen–Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities I, *Invent. Math.* 88 (1987) 153–164.
- [6] C. Peskine, L. Szpiro, Liaison des variétés algébriques I, *Invent. Math.* 26 (1974) 271–302.
- [7] A.P. Rao, Liaison equivalence classes, *Math. Ann.* 26 (1981) 169–173.
- [8] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen–Macaulay Modules over Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.